IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7058 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

I M DAKORIA

Versus

COMPETENT AUTHORITY & ADDL. COLLECTOR

Appearance:

MR RR MARSHALL for Petitioners
MR VM PANCHOLI, AGP for Respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH Date of decision: 20/07/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Mr RR Marshall, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr VM Pancholi, learned AGP for the respondents.

2. In this petition, the petitioners have challenged the order passed by the Competent Authority under Section 21(2) of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 revoking the permission granted under Section 21(1) of the Act and also the order of the Tribunal under the Act

confirming the order of the Competent Authority. The authorities have not taken over possession of the land in question from the petitioners, which fact is not in dispute in view of the instructions contained in the letter No. KS/30/SCA/General/99/V-4, dated 23.6.1999 from the Section Officer, Revenue Department, Government of Gujarat to the Government Pleader.

- 3. The Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 has been repealed by the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Repeal Act, 1999, as adopted by the Gujarat State Legislative Assembly as per the resolution dated 30th March, 1999, passed under Article 252 (2) of the Constitution. In view of the provisions of the aforesaid Repeal Act, 1999, the orders impugned in the present petition do not survive and all the proceedings under the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 in respect of the land in question as well as the present petition have abated.
- 4. The petition is accordingly disposed of as having abated. There shall be no order as to costs.

July 20, 1999 (M.S. Shah, J.)

sundar/-